www.nature.com/jim

Selection of microbial consortia for treating metal-working fluids

CJ van der Gast^{1,2}, CJ Knowles², M Starkey³ and IP Thompson¹

¹Microbial Diversity Group, Natural Environment Research Council, Centre for Ecology and Hydrology — Oxford, Virology and Environmental Microbiology, Mansfield Road, Oxford OX1 3SR, UK; ²Oxford Centre for Environmental Biotechnology, Department of Engineering Science, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3PJ, UK; ³Castrol Limited, Technology Centre, Whitchurch Hill, Pangbourne, Reading RG8 7QR, UK

The aim of this research was to determine the effectiveness of a strategy for constructing microbial consortia for treating chemically mixed industrial effluent, based on a more thorough understanding of communities within waste metal-working fluids (MWFs). Complementary phenotypic and genotypic methods revealed that the microbial communities in spent MWFs had low diversity and were very similar in species composition in samples originating from different locations and uses. Of 65 bacterial isolates studied, only 9 species were identified using fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) analysis. The results of genotypic analysis by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) were congruent with observations made using FAME analysis. The metabolic potential of the isolates was assessed in terms of assimilation ability and tolerance of co-contaminants. The three isolates, selected (*Clavibacter michiganensis, Methylobacterium mesophilicum*, and *Rhodococcus erythropolis*) to form a consortium, were representative of three of the four most abundant populations and when combined could utilise or tolerate all of the individual MWF components, including the biocide and the recalcitrant compound benzotriazole.

Journal of Industrial Microbiology & Biotechnology (2002) 29, 20-27 doi:10.1038/sj.jim.7000271

Keywords: bioaugmentation; metal working fluids; bacterial consortia; mixed chemical effluent; Acremonium spp.; Clavibacter michiganensis; Methylobacterium mesophilicum; Rhodococcus erythropolis

Introduction

Bioaugmentation with microorganisms with desired catabolic traits can be effective for bioprocessing of pollutants. It has been shown to be effective for enhancing rates of water treatment when indigenous degradative activity is slow or even absent [6,20,21]. In addition to stimulating degradation, bioaugmentation, in some cases, can facilitate the establishment of indigenous populations that also benefit waste treatment [14]. However, despite these successes there are many instances in which bioaugmentation has proven to be ineffective and so its potential remains uncertain [5,4]. One area where there is considerable promise for bioaugmentation is its use in bioreactors for the treatment of chemically mixed industrial wastes. An essential requirement for such systems is that they perform reliably, a feature that is not characteristic of microbial communities that colonise industrial wastes. Studies of the microbiology of fluidised bioreactors suggest that microbial communities in such systems are typically dynamic and unstable [8]. Bioaugmentation with carefully selected consortia may improve the opportunity to recreate more reproducible systems that both enhance degradative ability, but also by pre-emptive colonisation, prevents invasion by opportunistic strains with little degradative ability or are pathogenic.

Successful bioaugmentation for the treatment of chemically mixed wastes requires that suitable strains be introduced. Two features are paramount; the strains (1) are able to degrade the individual chemical components of the waste and (2) they are able to survive and be active in the target waste, particularly when in the presence of toxic co-contaminants. Although strains used for bioaugmentation have typically been selected on the basis of their ability to degrade specific chemical constituents, little consideration is given to their interactions with co-contaminants or their predisposition to survive in the target habitat. In a previous study, we showed that selection of strains, based on an improved understanding of the composition of indigenous microbial communities in the target habitat, was an effective way of selecting for inocula that persisted [10]. The aim of this study was to construct a microbial consortium for treatment of an industrial waste, based on the selection criteria of their degradative ability, tolerance to co-contaminants and their natural abundance in spent and decomposing metal-working fluids (MWFs).

Materials and methods

The metal-working fluid

The industrial waste selected for study was synthetic MWFs. MWFs were chosen because they are chemically mixed, containing environmentally toxic mixtures of lubricants, antifoaming agents and anticorrosives, and so are representative of many industrial wastes. Disposal of MWF safely and economically is difficult because of the large quantities produced worldwide annually $(22 \times 10^9 \text{ l year}^{-1})$ [7]. The synthetic MWF investigated (Castrol, Pangbourne, Berks, UK) is used as a coolant and lubricant in large-scale continuous metal-working processes to machine tungsten carbide and steel. The fluid is comprised of eight main chemical constituents including a formaldehyde-based biocide; benzotriazole (metal passivator); dodecanedioic acid, lauric acid, sebacic

Correspondence: Dr Ian P Thompson, Microbial Diversity Group, Natural Environment Research Council, Centre for Ecology and Hydrology — Oxford, Virology and Environmental Microbiology, Mansfield Road, Oxford OX1 3SR, UK Received 19 December 2001; accepted 2 May 2002

acid and an unspecified amine (corrosion inhibitors); glycerol and propylene glycol (lubrication agents). It is supplied as a concentrate and is typically diluted with water to form, a 6% v/v working fluid, prior to use in machining operations.

Cultivation and isolation conditions

Operationally exhausted synthetic MWF samples containing indigenous microbial communities were used as a source of strains from which to develop inocula. These originated from British Steel (Corby, England); Short Brothers (Belfast, Northern Ireland), Jones and Shipman (Swindon, England, two samples) all of which had different application histories. Samples from different locations were selected in order to construct a consortium that would have wide-ranging applications (e.g., varying waste MWF concentration and application). Strains capable of utilising fresh synthetic MWF as a nutrient source were enriched from four operationally exhausted MWF samples, by adding the fluids to 250-ml conical flasks containing 97 ml M9 minimal medium [16], at pH 7.5 with 3 ml fresh MWF concentrate. Samples (2% v/v) of operationally exhausted MWF were added to individual flasks and incubated at 28°C on an orbital incubator shaker at 170 rpm. After 7 days, 2% v/v samples of suspension from each flask were subcultured into fresh media (as described above). After a further 7 days, 2% v/v subsamples from each flask were inoculated into flasks containing M9 minimal medium and individual MWF components at a concentration of 5 mM. The flasks were incubated as described above, and $100 - \mu l$ aliquots of the medium were serially diluted and 50 µl aliquots plated onto M9 agar (Agar No. 3, Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) containing individual components at 5 mM concentration. Plates were incubated at 28°C for 3 days. Following the approach of Baecker et al [2], the highest serial dilution of samples that produced growth on plates were considered to have consisted of the most common microorganisms in the original samples. It was from these plates that all colonies were taken and repeatedly streaked to obtain pure cultures [2]. The pure bacterial cultures were subsequently transferred onto 10% v/v tryptic soy broth plus agar (TSBA) plates (Oxoid).

Microbial identification and phenotypic assessment

The phenotypic diversity and identification of individual strains isolated from MWF was determined by fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) analysis, essentially as described by Thompson *et al* [24] and van der Gast *et al* [25]. The samples were injected into a Hewlett-Packard model 5890 series II gas chromatograph and fatty acid peaks were named by the Microbial Identification System (MIS) software (Microbial ID, Newark, DE) and isolates identified using the MIS "Aerobe Library." Similarities between isolates were calculated using a coefficient based on the Euclidean distance between pairs of isolates. Cluster analysis was performed with the MIS "dendrogram program" using unweighted pair group method with arithmetic averages (UPGMA) [18,24].

Genotypic analysis of MWF samples by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis

The genetic diversity of the whole bacterial community contained in operationally exhausted MWF samples and MWF-enriched mixed bacterial populations were determined. Total DNA samples were extracted, PCR amplified and the fragments analysed by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE), as described by van der Gast *et al* [25].

Rapid metal working fluid component utilisation screening

The ability of bacteria isolated from MWF to assimilate components of the fluid as sole carbon sources was assessed by inoculating each isolate into microtitre plate wells containing 100 μ l M9 minimal medium and 3% v/v MWF concentrate as sole sources of carbon. The master microtitre plate (containing all 65 bacterial isolates) was incubated at 28°C overnight or until the broth became turbid. Bacteria were transferred from the master microtitre plate to flat-bottom 96-well microtitre plates containing M9 minimal medium, with individual synthetic MWF components (formaldehyde-based biocide, benzotriazole, dodecanedioic acid, lauric acid, sebacic acid, an amine, glycerol and propylene glycol ----Section 2.2) added at 5 mM concentration, using $200-\mu l$ tips arranged in the same pattern as the wells in the microtitre plates. The plates were covered with Seal-plate film (Sigma, Poole, UK) to prevent cross contamination or evaporation and incubated for 7 days at 28°C. Optical density was measured every 24 h at 620 nm using a LUCY 1 microplate luminometer (Rosys Anthos, Switzerland). This method allowed a rapid screen of all 65 bacterial isolates for tolerance and ability to assimilate individual MWF components as the carbon source.

Results

Origins of the MWF isolates

The final enrichment broths were serially diluted onto corresponding solid media and incubated at 28° C for 3 days. Plates were then examined and colonies counted and determined to be in the range of 10^{6} CFU ml⁻¹. All colonies growing on plates of 10^{6} dilution were subsequently isolated and identified. Sixty-five bacterial and 17 fungal strains were isolated from four operationally exhausted synthetic MWF samples taken from four different locations in the UK. From initial identification by microscopic examination, all fungal isolates were determined to be the same strain. The fungi were tentatively identified, using identification keys [9], as belonging to the *Acremonium* genus. With the exception of propylene glycol, the fungal isolates were capable of utilising all the individual components of the MWF as a single source of

 Table 1
 Number and origins of strains isolated on components of the

 MWF when present as a sole carbon source. Also presented below is
 isolation frequency for the 65 bacterial isolates. Identifications were based on FAME analysis

Component		All isolates			
	Belfast	Corby	Swindon 1	Swindon 2	
Formaldehyde - based biocide	0	0	0	0	0
Benzotriazole	2	1	0	2	5
Dodecanedioic acid	0	3	2	3	8
Lauric acid	7	2	2	2	13
Amine	0	1	4	3	8
Propylene glycol	10	1	1	4	16
Glycerol	2	0	1	2	5
Sebacic acid	1	2	4	3	10
Total no. of isolates	22	10	14	19	65

C. michiganensis (29%), R. pickettii (29%), M. mesophilicum (15%), R. erythropolis (12%), M. radiotolerans (6%), E. faecium (3%), A. xylosoxydans (2%), C. flaccumfaciens (2%) and P. saccharophilia (2%).

Strategy for bacterial consortia construction CJ van der Gast et al



Figure 1 Dendrogram of bacterial strains isolated from MWF components and generated by cluster analysis of FAME profiles, based on Euclidean distance. Each strain was designated with the following codes: SWI1 and SWI2 (Swindon samples), BELF (Belfast) and Corby indicating location. LA, lauric acid; SA, sebacic acid; D3A, dodecanedioic acid; A, amine; PG, propylene glycol; G, glycerol, BEN5, benzotriazole, indicating which substrate strains were isolated.

Strategy for bacterial consortia construction CJ van der Gast *et al*

3

4

2

1

carbon, at a concentration of 5 mM (including the biocide). However, the fungal strains were isolated only from the used MWF samples taken from Belfast and Corby.

Bacterial strains were isolated from all components of the MWF, when used as the sole source of carbon, with the exception of the formaldehyde-based biocide (Table 1). Almost half of the bacteria were isolated on minimal media containing either lauric acid (20%) or propylene glycol (25%), as the sole source of carbon. The majority of the bacteria from the Belfast sample were isolated on media containing lauric acid (32%) and propylene glycol (45%) as the sole sources of carbon. Seventy percent of the bacteria from the Corby sample were isolated from the three corrosion-inhibitor organic acids. Nearly 60% of all bacteria from the first Swindon sample were isolated on an amine and sebacic acid. With the second Swindon sample, bacteria were isolated on all components, with the exception of the biocide.

Phenotypic characterisation and identification of bacterial isolates

FAME analysis revealed that the 65 bacterial isolates (Table 1) comprised of nine species. The most abundant isolates were the Gram-negative *Ralstonia pickettii* and the Gram-positive *Clav-ibacter michiganensis* (Table 1). The FAME data for each species was assessed by cluster analysis (Figure 1) to determine the degree of phenotypic diversity within each population.

Cluster analysis of all 65 bacterial isolates revealed two main clusters (A and B) at Euclidean distance (ED) of above 70.97. Cluster A comprised two subclusters (A1 and A2); the largest subcluster (A2) comprised Methylobacterium mesophilicum and Methylobacterium radiotolerans. Subcluster A1 comprised two: Enterococcus faecium and a Pseudomomas saccharophila strains. Cluster B consisted of three subclusters (B1, B2 and B3). Subcluster B1 was comprised solely of Gram-positive bacteria, C. michiganensis and one Curtobacterium flaccumfaciens that clustered at a Euclidean Distance (ED) of 26.62, whereas the Gram-negative subcluster B2 was comprised of one Alcaligenes xylosoxydans and at an ED above 2.5, all 19 R. pickettii isolates. The Gram-positive subcluster B3, was comprised of Rhodococcus erythropolis isolates that clustered at a 26.6 ED. The cluster analysis revealed a low degree of phenotypic diversity within the isolates, identified by FAME to be the same species, but isolated from different samples. For example, all isolates in the R. pickettii subcluster B2 (Figure 1) clustered at 2 or less Euclids even though they originated from different samples and locations. Clustering of two isolates at 2 ED and below is taken to indicate that they are the same genotype [24].

Genotypic analysis of used MWF samples by DGGE

To overcome concerns relating to diversity assessments based on plating methods and to account for the unculturable component, the total community composition of bacteria colonising used and fresh MWF was analysed by DGGE (Figure 2). This confirmed findings obtained by plating with FAME analysis that the bacterial community colonising used MWF was low. DGGE analysis of the amplified 16S rDNA from the four used-fluid samples revealed eight or less bands per sample. Furthermore, as well as low diversity, the composition of the colonising bacterial community after enrichment was highly conserved, as revealed by similar DGGE banding patterns. This community convergence suggests



5

6

7

8

Figure 2 Genotypic diversity (DGGE) of used MWF samples before and after enrichment. Lanes 1 to 4, used MWF samples before enrichment; lanes 5 to 8, after two enrichment steps (sample order 1 to 4 and 5 to 8: Swindon 1, Belfast, Swindon 2, and Corby samples, respectively).

that the MWF exerted a selective pressure that favoured just a few distinct bacterial populations regardless of sample origin.

Utilisation and tolerance of synthetic MWF components

All bacterial isolates collected from MWFs were screened for their ability to grow on and hence assimilate the nine individual components of the synthetic MWFs as their sole source of carbon.

An isolate was assumed to have utilised an MWF component as a sole source of carbon if there was an exponential increase in optical density (OD) over a 7-day incubation period; have tolerated a component if there was steady increase (yet not a logarithmic increase) in OD; and have been suppressed if there was no detectable increase in OD. To condense the large quantity of data produced, component utilisation, tolerance and suppression were represented by, +, 0, or -, respectively (Table 2).

Only one isolate, *R. erythropolis* (Isolate SWI1-A-1.1), tolerated the formaldehyde-based biocide, while all other isolates were suppressed (Table 2). Eighteen percent of isolates including seven *C. michiganensis* isolates, from each of the MWF samples analysed, and single isolates of *M. radiotolerans* and *R. erythropolis*, originating from the Belfast and Swindon-1 samples, respectively, tolerated the metal passivator, benzotriazole. All other MWF components were more widely utilised by the isolated populations. The corrosion inhibitor, an unspecified amine, had no detectable suppressive effect on any of the bacterial isolates.

Selection of bacterial isolates for use in bioreactors

The screening procedure revealed that the combined metabolic ability of three isolates together could either tolerate or assimilate all of the MWF components as nutrients or co-metabolites (isolates highlighted in Table 2). The three isolates were subjected to further screening to determine their ability to tolerate or utilise MWF components, when present at a higher concentration (50 mM), reflecting more the concentrations of working fluids. The results are shown in Figure 3, where growth is presented as the mean percentage increase in optical density over a 7-day incubation period.

UPE

Strategy for bacterial consortia construction CJ van der Gast et al

24

Table 2 Component utilisation profiles for individual bacterial isolates

No.	Isolate name	Isolate code	Formaldehyde based biocide	Benzotriazole	Dodecanedioic Acid	Lauric acid	Amine (unspecified)	Propylene glycol	Glycerol	Sebacic acid
1	P. saccharophilia	BELF-PG-11	_	_	_	0	0	0	0	_
2	E. faecium	BELF-LA-2	_	_	_	_	0	+	0	_
3	E. faecium	BELF-LA-6	_	_	_	_	0	0	0	_
4	M. mesophilicum	CORBY-D3A-2	_	_	_	0	+	+	0	_
5	M. mesophilicum	SW12-SEB-2	_	_	_	+	0	+	+	_
6	M. mesophilicum	BELF-SEB-2	_	_	_	0	0	0	0	_
7	M. mesophilicum	BELF-PG-10	_	_	_	_	0	0	+	_
8	M. radiotolerans	BELF-PG-1	_	_	_	+	0	0	+	_
9	M. radiotolerans	BELF-PG-5	_	_	_	0	+	+	+	0
10	M. mesophilicum	CORBY-A-3	_	_	_	_	+	0	0	_
11	M. mesophilicum	SW11-SEB-2	_	_	+	_	+	+	0	_
12	M. mesophilicum	SW12-BEN5-2	_	_	_	0	0	+	0	_
13	M. mesophilicum	CORBY-SEB-2	_	_	_	0	0	0	0	_
14*	M. mesophilicum	SW12-LA-2	_	_	+	_	+	0	0	+
15	M. mesophilicum	BELF-PG-8	_	_	_	+	0	0	_	_
16	M. radiotolerans	BELF-PG-3	_	0	_	0	0	_	_	_
17	M. radiotolerans	BELF-PG-4	_	_	_	0	+	_	0	0
18	C. flaccumfaciens	BELF-LA-1	_	_	_	0	+	+	+	_
19	C. michiganensis	SW12-PG-3	_	_	_	0	+	0	_	_
20	C. michiganensis	SW12-PG-1	_	_	_	+	+	+	+	_
21	C. michiganensis	CORBY-D3A-	_	_	_	+	+	0	_	_
22	C. michiganensis	SW12-A-2	_	_	_	0	0	0	0	_
23	C. michiganensis	SW12-G-2	_	_	_	0	0	0	+	_
24	C michiganensis	SW12-G-1	_	_	_	Ő	+	Ő	+	_
25*	C. michiganensis	SW11-G-1	_	0	_	Ő	+	ŏ	0	+
26	C michiganensis	BELF-G-1	_	0	_	0	0	_	0	_
27	C michiganensis	SW11-SEB-11	_	Ő	_	Ő	Ő	0	_	_
28	C michiganensis	SW12-BEN5-1	_	Ő	_	_	+	_	_	_
29	C michiganensis	CORBY-BEN5-1	_	Ő	_	_	+	_	0	_
30	C michiganensis	SW12-SEB-11	_	0	+	_	0	_	_	+
31	C michiganensis	BELE-BEN5-1	_	0	0	_	Ő	0	0	+
32	C michiganensis	BELF-PG-6	_	_	_	+	+	+	+	_
33	C michiganensis	CORBY-PG-2	_	_	_	0	+	+	+	_
34	C michiganensis	RELETIA-4	_	_	_	_	+	_	Ó	_
35	C michiganensis	SW12-D34-2	_	_	_	0	Ó	_	0	_
36	C michiganensis	SW12-D3A-2 SW11-D3A-2	_	_	_	_	0	0	0	_
37	C michiganensis	SW12-D3A-3	_	_	_	_	Ő	_	Ő	_
38	4 rylosorydans	CORBY-IA-4	_	_	_	_	0	_	_	_
39	R nickettii	SW11-I A-1 1	_	_	_	0	0	+	+	_
40	R nickettii	BFIF-IA-21	_	_	_	_	0	+	+	_
41	R nickettii	SW11-I A-1	_	_	_	_	0	+	+	_
42	R nickettii	BELE-LA-3	_	_	_	_	0	+	+	_
43	R nickettii	BELF_BEN5_2	_	_	_	_	Ő	0	+	_
44	R nickettii	SW11-PG-1	_	_	_	_	+	+	0	_
45	R nickettii	BELE-PG-7	_	_	_	_	+	+	Ő	_
46	R nickettii	BELF-P-2	_	_	_	_	+	+	Ő	+
47	R nickettii	CORBY-LA-1	_	_	_	_	0	+	+	_
48	R nickettii	SW12-LA-1	_	_	_	_	Ő	_	_	+
49	R nickettii	BELE-LA-2	_	_	_	_	Ő	0	0	_
50	R nickettii	SW12-PG-4	_	_	_	_	+	+	+	_
51	R nickettii	SW11-D3A-1	_	_	_	_	0	0	+	_
52	R nickettii	CORBY-SEB-1	_	_	_	0	Ő	Ő	0	_
53	R nickettii	SW11-SEB-21	_	_	_	Ő	Ő	Ő	Ő	_
54	R nickettii	CORBY-D3A-3	_	_	_	_	Ő	Ő	Ő	_
55	R nickettii	SW11-SEB-1	_	_	_	_	0	_	0	_
56	R. pickettii	BELF-PG-9	_	_	_	_	0	0	0	_
57	R nickettii	SW12-SEB-1	_	_	_	_	Ő	_	Ő	_
58	R. ervthropolis	SW12-PG-2	_	_	0	0	+	+	+	_
59*	R. ervthropolis	SW11-A-1.1	0	0	0	Ő	+	+	+	+
60	R. erythropolis	SW12-A-11	_	_	_	_	0	+	0	_
61	R. erythropolis	SW11-A-2 1	_	0	0	_	+	+	0	+
62	R. ervthropolis	SW12-A-1	_	_	_	_	0	+	0	_
63	R erythropolis	SW11-A-1	_	0	0	+	+	+	õ	0
64	R. ervthropolis	SW11-A-2	_	Ő	Ő	_	0	+	0	Ő
65	R. erythropolis	SW12-D3A-1	_	_	0	0	+	+	0	_
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				2	0			2	

(+) denotes utilisation, (0) tolerance and (-) suppression. *Isolates selected for further analysis are highlighted in bold. Isolate codes are explained in Figure 2.

npg



Figure 3 Growth curves of the three members of the selected consortium (based on optical density). (a) *C. michiganensis*, (b) *M. mesophilicum*, (c) *R. erythropolis* in components of the MWF, present as sole carbon sources. Abbreviations: LA, lauric acid; SA, sebacic acid; D3A, dodecanedioic acid; A, an unspecified amine; PG, propylene glycol; G, glycerol; BEN, benzotriazole; FbB, formaldehyde-based biocide. Error bars indicate standard deviation (\pm) and are the mean of triplicate samples.

Propylene glycol was combined with other MWF components as it was shown to be a readily degradable MWF component.

With the exception of lauric acid, both solely and in the presence of propylene glycol, the *M. mesophilicum* isolate utilised or tolerated all the components of the MWF sources of carbon. It also tolerated the formaldehyde-based biocide, benzotriazole, both solely or in the presence of propylene glycol (Figure 3b). Combinations of the formaldehyde-based biocide, benzotriazole, benzotriazole with propylene glycol, lauric acid with propylene glycol, all suppressed growth of the *C. michiganensis* isolate. However, it tolerated lauric acid and the formaldehyde-based biocide with propylene glycol, and utilised all other test substrates as sole sources of carbon (Figure 3a).

The *R. erythropolis* isolate utilised all substrates tested as sole sources of carbon except: lauric acid with propylene glycol and dodecanedioic acid with propylene glycol, which it tolerated; and the formaldehyde-based biocide, benzotriazole, solely and with propylene glycol, which suppressed growth (Figure 3c). The combined metabolic capabilities of all three isolates were synergistic and greater than the individual isolates. The three isolates together where able to utilise all components of the MWF.

Discussion

Although bioaugmentation, including those for processing chemically mixed wastes, has had some success, the approach is still an unreliable technology and because of this is not widely accepted as an effective approach for treating industrial wastes. The reasons for the failure of bioaugmentation are numerous and complex, but the initial selection of strains is undoubtedly an important factor. In most previous studies, strains have been selected very much on the basis of specific traits, such as the ability to catabolise targeted substrates, with little consideration of their natural abundance within problem substrates or likely survival in the target habitat. In a previous study we demonstrated that selection of strains, based on a thorough knowledge of their diversity and spatial and temporal distribution in the site, was a very effective way of selecting for persistent strains when reinoculated into the site [10]. The aim of this study was to test the effectiveness of this community diversity approach, when combined with knowledge of the assimilation characteristics of isolates, for developing a consortium that was capable of treating operationally exhausted MWF.

The approach used in this study was based on an improved knowledge of the composition of microbial communities that naturally invade MWF. The results of complementary phenotypic and genotypic analysis confirmed the observation made in previous studies that the microbial diversity within MWF is comparatively very low [2,3,15,25]. For instance, in one study 75 of the isolates from MWF were determined, by phenotypic analysis, to be composed of six genera [2]. In this study, we detected only nine taxonomic groups (species) (Table 1) from the 65 isolates studied. In comparison, FAME analysis of 556 isolates taken from fieldgrown sugar beet revealed 102 species of bacteria [13]. In the past, comprehensive assessments of the microbial communities has been restricted by the limitations of the traditional culture approach, which typically only enables between 1% and 10% [1] of the total community present to be analysed. The advantage we had over previous studies of MWF was the application of total community DNA fingerprinting (DGGE), which also accounted for that part of the community that cannot be isolated on culture media. The results of DGGE analysis confirm observations obtained using culture approaches, that microbial diversity within the MWF was very low. For instance, DGGE analysis of many individual MWF samples revealed less than 5 bands (Figure 3), in comparison, for soil there are typically over 20 bands [11]. Although we are not yet able to equate the presence of specific bands to species or genera, the low number of DGGE bands detected in spent MWF confirms the low diversity within the substrate.

In addition to the very low diversity of the microbial community, the analyses also revealed that although the individual spent MWFs collected were spatially and temporally separated, the species composition and community structure was very conserved. For instance, 10 of the isolates identified by FAME analysis to be *R. picketti* clustered at or below 2 Euclids (Figure 2). Isolates that cluster at this level are typically taken to be the same genotype [18,24]. There are other instances and species (e.g., *C. michiganensis* [see Figure 2]) where the same strain occurred in at least



two spatially and temporally separated samples. These results suggest that MWFs are highly selective and encourage the growth of a narrow range of species and even genotypes that can survive the toxic nature of the substrate. Furthermore, evidence for the very selective nature of MWF comes from the DGGE analysis (Figure 3). The DGGE band pattern (band positions) of four MWF samples, prior to enrichment, were distinctive suggesting different species composition, but similar community structure (number of species/bands). After two enrichment steps in minimal broth with MWF as the sole nutrient source, the DGGE banding pattern became converged and almost identical, suggesting the enrichment process was highly selective. The highly selective nature of the MWF is assumed to be due to the very toxic nature of the substrate and is reflected in the fungal community that consisted of just one genus, *Acremonium*.

The results of this study are the first step in a process in which the overall aim is to construct a microbial consortium to process operationally exhausted MWFs. The results suggest that the consortium will be dominated by, if not entirely composed of, selected bacteria. Although fungi were isolated, they were detected in only two of four MWF samples. This confirms the reports of previous workers who suggest that fungi are secondary colonisers of MWFs [17]. Previous reports suggest that bacteria are the primary colonisers of MWFs and it is their activities that create the conditions, such as lowering the pH by the degradation process, that then favour fungal invasion [19,26]. It was the low occurrence of fungi in our samples that determined that the consortium we wished to assemble should consist entirely of bacteria.

The low diversity and highly selective nature of the MWF is a highly encouraging result, in terms of constructing a consortium that will be effective at treating one MWF formulation in bioreactors. We are more likely to be able to assemble an effective consortium if the diversity of the community from which to select strains is very low. The convergent nature of the communities, presumably as a result of the selective nature of the MWF, suggests that it should be possible to construct a consortium that can persist in a broad range of MWF conditions of variable use history and chemistry. Our initial results in test-tube-based analysis of the three-strain consortium are very encouraging. The consortium strains (C. michiganensis, R erythropolis and M. mesophilicum) were selected on the basis of their spatial and temporal distribution, which presumably reflected the tolerance to the MWF chemistry and their ability to assimilate components of the MWF as a nutrient source. The combined use of community composition and assimilation data proved to be a very effective way of selecting bacteria that were likely to survive in MWF, since that was where they were originally isolated, and that they could assimilate it as a sole carbon source. We have found that the combined metabolic ability of the three individual strains, when grown together is greater than the sum of the individual strains when grown separately in spent MWF (data not shown). We also found that R. pickettii contributed little, in terms of metabolic ability, and so was excluded from the consortium.

Despite their toxicity, including the presence of biocidal agents, MWFs are degraded by microorganisms, and this can be exploited in bioreactor-based systems [12,22,23]. Indeed, bioreactors established for disposing of MWFs are a prime target for bioaugmentation approaches, since they are currently commonly inoculated with microbial communities from sewage, which is heterogeneous in composition and likely to harbour potential human pathogens. The next challenge in this study is to determine how well the consortium survives in bioreactors for treating spent MWF and its effectiveness in enhancing the degradative ability of the indigenous microbial community.

Acknowledgements

CJ van der Gast was supported by a BBSRC-Industrial CASE studentship and Castrol Limited. We thank Michael Wright, Andy Whiteley and Andy Lilley (Molecular Microbial Ecology group, NERC, CEH-Oxford, Virology and Environmental Microbiology, Oxford) for useful discussion and advice. We are particularly grateful to Molly Dewey and Natasha Karpovich-Tate (Department of Plant Sciences, University of Oxford) for help and guidance in fungal isolate identification.

References

- 1 Amann RI, W Ludwig and KH Schleifer. 1995. Phylogenetic identification and *in situ* detection of individual microbial cells without cultivation. *Microbiol Rev* 59: 143–169.
- 2 Baecker AAW, K le Roux and A von Holy. 1989. Microbiological contaminants of metal-working fluids in service. *S Afr J Sci* 85: 293–295.
- 3 Baker CA, GW Claus and PA Taylor. 1983. Predominant bacteria in an activated sludge reactor for the degradation of cutting fluids. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 46: 1214–1223.
- 4 Bouchez T, D Patureau, P Dabert, S Juretschko, J Dore, P Delegenes, R Moletta and M Wagner. 2000. Ecological study of bioaugmentation failure. *Environ Microbiol* 2: 179–190.
- 5 Bouchez T, D Patureau, P Dabert, M Wagner, JP Delgenes and R Moletta. 2000. Successful and unsuccessful bioaugmentation experiments monitored by fluorescent *in situ* hybridization. *Water Sci Technol* 41: 61–68.
- 6 Briglia M, PJM Middeldorp and MS Salkinojassalonen. 1994. Mineralization performance of *Rhodococcus chlorophenolicus* strain PCP-1 in contaminated soil stimulating on site conditions. *Soil Biol Biochem* 26: 377–385.
- 7 Department of Trade and Industry. 2000. A guide to biological treatment for metalworking fluids. Bio-Wise Programme, DTI/BW/ 25/2000/NP.
- 8 Fernandez A, SY Huang, S Seston, J Xing, R Hickey, C Criddle and J Tiedje. 1999. How stable is stable? Function versus community composition. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 65: 3697–3704.
- 9 Gams W. 1971. Cephalosporium artige schimmelpilze (hyphomycetes). Gustav Fischer Verlag, Stuttgart.
- 10 Goddard VJ, MJ Bailey, P Darrah, AK Lilley and IP Thompson. 2001. Monitoring temporal and spatial variation in rhizosphere bacterial population diversity: a community approach for the improved selection of rhizosphere competent bacteria. *Plant Soil* 232: 181–193.
- 11 Griffiths RI, AS Whiteley, AG O'Donnell and MJ Bailey. 2000. A rapid method for the co-extraction of DNA and RNA from natural environments for the analysis of rDNA and rRNA based microbial community composition. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 66: 5488–5491.
- 12 Kim BR, DN Rai, JF Zemla, F Lipari and PV Harvath. 1994. Biological removal of organic nitrogen and fatty acids from metal-cutting fluid wastewater. *Water Res* 28: 1453–1461.
- 13 Lilley AK, JC Fry, MJ Bailey and MJ Day. 1996. Comparison of aerobic heterotrophic taxa isolated from four root domains of mature sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris*). *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 21: 231–242.
- 14 Massol-Deya A, R Weeler, L. Rios-Hernandez, JZ Zhou, RF Hickey and JM Tiedje. 1997. Succession and convergence of biofilm communities in fixed-film reactors treating aromatic hydrocarbons in groundwater. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 63: 270–276.
- 15 Mattsby-Baltzer I, M Sandin, B Ahlstrom, S Allenmark, M Edebo, E Falsen, K Pedersen, N Rodin, RA Thompson and L Edebo. 1989. Microbial growth and accumulation in industrial metal-working fluids. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 55: 2681–2689.
- 16 Miller JH. 1972. Experimental molecular genetics. Cold Spring Harbour Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbour, NY.
- 17 Prince EL and LHG Morton. 1988. Fungal biodeterioration of synthetic

metal working fluids. In Biofilms: Proceedings of the spring meeting of the biodeterioration society. Lancashire Polytechnic Publications Service, Preston, UK, pp. 107–122.

- 18 Rainey PB, MJ Bailey and IP Thompson. 1994. Phenotypic and genotypic diversity of fluorescent pseudomonads isolated from fieldgrown sugar beet. *Microbiology* 140: 2315–2331.
- 19 Rossmoore HW and GH Holtzman. 1974. Growth of fungi in cutting fluids. *Dev Ind Microbiol* 15: 273–280.
- 20 Stephenson D and T Stephenson. 1992. Bioaugmentation for enhancing biological waste-water treatment. *Biotechnol Adv* 10: 549–559.
- 21 Stevens NCA. 1989. The application of bioaugmentation to wastewater treatment. Int Biodeter 25: 87–95.
- 22 Sutton PM, D Kothari, PN Mishra and L Hachigan. 1985. Biological treatment of metalworking fluids: a new application for fluidised bed

technology. *Proceedings of Water Pollution and Contamination Conference*. Water Environment Federation, Alexandria, VA, USA.

- 23 Taylor GT. 2001. Evaluation of the potential of biodegradation for the disposal of cutting fluid from the machining of uranium. *Int Biodeterior Biodegrad* 47: 215–224.
- 24 Thompson IP, MJ Bailey, RJ Ellis and KJ Purdy. 1993. Subgrouping of bacterial populations by cellular fatty acid composition. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 102: 75-84.
- 25 van der Gast CJ, CJ Knowles, MA Wright and IP Thompson. 2001. Identification and characterisation of bacterial populations of an in-use metal-working fluid by phenotypic and genotypic methodology. *Int Biodeterior Biodegrad* 47: 113–123.
- 26 von Holy A. 1989. Susceptibility of metalworking fluids to microbiological contamination in service. *S Afr J Sci* 85: 149–151.